

# STUDENTS' COUNCIL

Tuesday March 11, 2003 - 6:00 PM  
Council Chambers 2-1 University Hall

MINUTES (SC 2002-21)

Faculty/Position	Name	6:00	9:00	Roll Call Vote #1 Iraq	Roll Call Vote #2 Campaign Budget	Roll Call Vote #3 2100
President	Mike Hudema	✓	✓	For	For	For
VP Academic	Mat Brechtel	✓	✓	Against	Against	For
VP External	Anand Sharma	✓	✓	For	Against	Against
VP Finance	Steve Smith	✓	✓	Against	Against	For
VP Student Life	Kail Ross	✓	✓	Against	For	For
BoG Rep	Mike Reid	✓ (6:1 5)	✓		For	
RHA	George Slomp	✓	✓	For	For	For
Athletics Board						
Ag/For/HomeEc	Teodora Alampi	✓	✓	For	For	For
Ag/For/HomeEc	Paul Reikie	✓	✓	For	For	For
Arts	Chris Bolivar (Chelli Kelly)	✓	✓		Against	Against

Arts	Kyle Kawanami	✓	✓	Against	Against	Against
Arts	James Knull	✓	✓	Against	Against	For
Arts	Matt Oberhoffner	✓	✓	Against	For	For
Arts	Alexis Pepin (Ed Aronyk)	✓	✓	Against	Against	Abstain
<b>Faculty/Position</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>6:00</b>	<b>9:00</b>	<b>Roll Call Vote #1</b>	<b>Roll Call Vote #2</b>	<b>Roll Call Vote #3</b>
				<b>Iraq</b>	<b>Campaign Budget</b>	<b>2100</b>
Arts	Vivek Sharma	✓	✓	For	For	For
Arts	Paul Welke	✓	✓	Against	Against	For
Business	Jamie Kidston	✓ (6:30)	✓	Against	For	For
Business	Meena Rajulu	✓	✓	For	For	For
Business	Holly Tomte	✓	✓	Against	Against	For
Education	Charles Beamish	✓	✓	For	Against	Against
Education	Daljeet Chhina	✓	✓	Against	Against	
Education	Allison Ekdahl	✓	✓		For	For
Education	Mandeep Gill	✓	✓		For	For
Education	Janet Lo	✓	✓	For	For	For
Engineering	Chris Jones	✓	✓	Against	Against	Against
Engineering	Margaret Laffin	✓	✓	Against	Against	Against
Engineering	Paige Smith	✓	✓	Against	For	
Engineering	Michelle Vigeant	✓	✓	Against	For	
Engineering	David Wepler	✓	✓	Against	Against	Abstain

Law	Paul Varga	✓	✓	Against	Against	Against
Med/Dent	Miranda Richardson (Patricia Tsang)	✓	✓	For	For	
Med/Dent	Jeffrey Cao	✓	✓	For	For	
Native Studies	Valerie Knaga	✗	✗			
Nursing						
Open Studies						
Open Studies						
Pharmacy	Kurt Greene	✓	✓	Against	For	
Phys Ed	Holly Higgins	✓	✓	Against	Against	For
<b>Faculty/Position</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>6:00</b>	<b>9:00</b>	<b>Roll Call Vote #1</b>	<b>Roll Call Vote #2</b>	<b>Roll Call Vote #3</b>
				<b>Iraq</b>	<b>Campaign Budget</b>	<b>2100</b>
Faculté St-Jean	Lisa Clyburn	✓	✓	Against	Against	Against
Science	Chamila Adhihetty	✓	✓	Against	For	
Science	Kimberly Dary (Duncan Taylor)	✓	✓	For	Against	
Science	Katie Grant	✓	✓	Against	For	For
Science	Aisha Khatib	✓	✓	For	For	
Science	Tereza Elyas	✓	✓	Against	Against	For
Science	Chris Samuel	✓	✓	Against	For	For
Science	Steven Schendel	✓	✓	Against	Against	Against

Science	Kimmy Williams	✓	✓	Abstain	Abstain	Against
Gen Mngr	Bill Smith	✗	✗			
Speaker	Gregory Harlow	✓	✓			
Rec Sec	Helen McGraw	✓	✓			
				<b>Carried</b> (15/25/1)	<b>Carried</b> (24/20/1)	<b>Carried</b> (22/10/2)

Observers: James Meeker, Nick Tam, Roman Kotovych, Shawna Pandya,  
Keith

## MINUTES (SC 2002-21)

2002-21/1                    CALL TO ORDER (6:10)

2002-21/4                    SPEAKER'S BUSINESS

Congratulations to the victors and all candidates of the thankfully finished elections. Proof of student status is still required from **Hudema, Smith, Brechtel, and Sharma**. **Ross** is evidently the most diligent and studious member of the executive.

Beamish will be having his 23<sup>rd</sup> birthday tomorrow – come party at RATT!

2002-21/6                    APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

SMITH MOVED TO APPROVE the Agenda for the March 11 meeting.

WILLIAMS/HUDEMA MOVED TO ADD item 13g reading “Resolved that Students’ Council nominate one councilor to serve on the Community Relations Coordinator Nomination Committee”

**Carried**

WELKE/OBERHOFFNER MOVED TO ADD Late Additions 13d-f  
**Carried**

WEPPLER MOVED TO ADD a 10 minute presentation on  
"International Pie Throwing"

**Weppler:** It is imperative that this presentation be given tonight as the whipped cream involved will go bad if it waits for a week.

**Carried**

REIKIE/HUDEMA MOVED TO ADD 13a reading "Resolved that Students' Council mandate the President to write a letter to the University, Member of Parliament, Prime Minister and the Minister of Defense declaring the opinion of the university students regarding the proposed US-led war on Iraq" and renumber accordingly.

**Carried**

SHARMA/BEAMISH MOVED TO ADD 13i: Vice-President (External) boards and committees.

**Carried**

JONES/WELKE MOVED TO make 7a, 12f, and 13a (Pie Throwing and Iraq) Special Orders

**Carried**

Procedural errors corrected in a friendly manner:

-12e is in 2<sup>nd</sup> reading

-12f should have been included on the original agenda

**Carried**

2002-21/13e  
Motion to Censure  
(Matter of  
Precedence)

JONES/OBERHOFFNER MOVED THAT Students' Council censure and reprimand the President and Vice-President (External) with respect to their conduct during the SU General Election, including (but not limited to) the misuse of Students' Union resources (viz. space and staff time) in such a way as to cast doubt upon the integrity and the perceived integrity of the electoral process.

**Jones:** On the Thursday of elections, list of the SU's accomplishments was posted on the website and former wall of debt. The selection of items on this list was clearly biased against two individuals contesting the election. This represents a misuse of SU resources, was an attempt to bias the election and is therefore against SU bylaws and policy.

**Hudema:** The impetus for posting the list was provided by

the forums where audience members felt that they didn't know what the SU had done for them. We compiled a list to inform students of the SU's accomplishments. In our last exec meeting we had a discussion about how it was posted (it was taken down on Thursday night after councilor and student complaints). It definitely wasn't done to bias the election in any way. **Ross** spoke against putting up the list; **Sharma** and several staff members approved of the idea. I voted for one of the candidates that you claim I'm biased against so that contention is obviously ill-founded.

**Beamish (POI):** Was the add-drop deadline on the list?

**Hudema:** Yes, both the add-drop deadline and the handbook were on the list

**Wepler:** One of the Go Vote posters encouraged students to vote because they wanted to see more protests.

**Hudema (POI):** Did you know that not only did I speak out against the posters because I thought they perpetuated stereotypes, but also that no member of the executive endorsed the posters?

**Wepler:** Thanks for preemptively answering my question!

**Ross:** Very few students would have drawn a connection between that list and **Hudema's** sinister and underhanded plan to manipulate the election. Defeat this.

**Beamish:** Please provide a concrete example of how this list favored one candidate over another;

**Sharma:** Every year, execs brag to students about their accomplishments. I expected that all VPs would add their achievements to the list (I myself added 6 or 7 that were missed in the original draft). I don't think this list changed anyone's voting plans.

**Lo (POI):** Was every exec member consulted?

**Sharma:** No, because two were on a leave of absence as they were running in the election.

**Kawanami:** This strikes to the heart of the process as well as students' perception of the process. It was not the best decision to have individual executives' names attached to different accomplishments when two execs were in the election, especially since some execs had longer lists by their names and there were numerous omissions from the list. The add-drop deadline was on the wall of debt but not the

website. There's no reason why this couldn't have waited until after the election.

**Smith:** This was a bad idea in the first place and it turned into a fiasco when an incomplete list was posted. That said, the exec can't grind to a halt during elections. This isn't the worst thing two members of the exec have done in recent years, nor the worst thing any of us have done this year, nor even the worst thing that these two individual exec members have done this year. This censure is wildly disproportionate.

**Sharma (Councilor):** Students' perception of the SU is that we don't do anything. During elections, it is key that the accomplishments of the SU be publicized. The accomplishments of Smith and Brechtel were already extensively promoted in the Gateway and at forums. This list likely did nothing to change people's voting plans.

**Reid:** Sec 43 of Bylaw 2100 states that no member of the exec committee shall campaign for or endorse a candidate or provide the resources of the SU for a campaign. The exec is expected to maintain a degree of impartiality unless they are willing to take a leave of absence.

WILLIAMS/SCHENDEL MOVED the previous question.

**Carried**

**Defeated (14/26/6) Abstaining: Hudema, Sharma**

2002-21/7

PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION

2002-21/7a  
"International Pie-  
Throwing"  
(Special Order #1)

**David Wepler: International Pie-Throwing**

Each year, Engineers Without Borders holds a pie-throwing event to raise money for charity (this year they raised >\$1000 for Habitat for Humanity). For \$10, you can arrange for a person to be pied. The pies are administered by volunteers who present the recipient with 4 options: take the pie in the face for free, buy the pie for 3 dollars (\$31.01), redirect the pie for \$10, or choose not to participate. Several pies still require delivery and are to be administered at tonight's meeting; the festivities will be videotaped.

Proper pie throwing requires a great deal of finesse and there are a number of important guidelines on its technique. The pies themselves consist of whipped cream applied liberally to paper plates; garbage bags are used as protection against dirtiness and damage deposit forfeiture. The pie must be driven up the recipient's face, the goal being for it to enter the nostrils. The pie is pushed up, over one ear to the back of the head, then back up against the grain of the hair for optimal whipped cream coverage.

The first pie is for me (redirected from the Dean of Engineering) and I would like **Hudema** to be my celebrity pie-thrower. [**Wepler** took his pie with admirable grace and composure].

**Hudema:** Can I take this as approval for my pieing people generally?

**Wepler:** Only if it's for charity.

The remaining 4 pies are for **Sharma**: 3 are from **Reid**, 1 from **Kawanami**. Out of his inspiring benevolence and love for charity, **Reid** paid extra for his pies, so they would cost \$30 each to defer. [Lacking the requisite \$100, **Sharma** agreed to be a good sport and take the pies, which consisted of 4 times the whipped cream applied to a single paper plate. **Kawanami** delivered the pie, demonstrating exceptional technique].

**Sharma:** [to thunderous applause] "I'm not wiping it, I'm eating it!"

Thank you for your patience and enthusiasm; watch for this same event around election time next year!

2002-21/12f  
Political Policy  
"War as a Means of  
Conflict  
Resolution"  
(Special Order #2)

REIKIE/SLOMP MOVED THAT Students' Council adopt the proposed political policy "War as a Means of Conflict Resolution".

*Please see document LA 02-21.01*

JONES MOVED TO REJECT consideration of the question.

**Defeated (13/20/3)**



REIKIE/SHARMA MOVED TO LIMIT debate to 10 min.

**Carried**

**Reikie:** The SU is mandated to promote the general welfare of students. There are a lot of students who would be emotionally affected by this war: U of A students may lose family or friends and students opposed to the war will suffer from emotional trauma. The opinions of students as expressed in the Gateway and at recent rallies speak to their objections. The SU can exercise its political energies without considerable cost. CFS and other universities have political policies against war. This isn't a question of right versus left; it's within our power and important for the psychological welfare of students.

**Slomp:** There are fears that this policy will alienate students but those fears are misconceived. Rather, this will show that the SU is willing to stand up for its members who are deeply concerned on an issue. Anti-war rallies have been poorly received in other venues so it is important that they be accepted here. This sends a strong message to how important we are and the role we play in society.

**Clyburn (POI):** Do you not acknowledge that many students also support a war on Iraq; aren't we failing to represent those students?

**Slomp:** Taking a stance doesn't preclude listening to alternate views. Students who oppose the war don't have support from anywhere else; those who are in favor if it have the support of Bush

**Jones:** I support the war as a means of Keynesian economy building; Bush neither supports nor represents me.

HUDEMA/SHARMA MOVED TO EXTEND the discussion for an additional 10 minutes.

**Carried**

**Welke:** As a current member of the Canadian Forces, I'm probably the councilor most affected by this possible war. I don't think the U of A SU has all of the information necessary

to make a sound decision on this question (even me, with my Level 3 Security clearance). This policy needs to be reexamined. For example, the first resolution isn't specific enough: it stands in opposition of all wars, even just ones.

**Smith (Councilor):** There are plenty of larger, more important issues facing students; why are we focusing our energies on this? We can't hope to represent all students on campus with such a narrow policy. My constituents all opposed this motion. Even if we did take a stance, nobody would care.

BEAMISH MOVED TO STRIKE the first resolution ("BIRT the U of A SU oppose the use of war as a means of conflict resolution") and add "without UN approval" to the end of the second resolution.

**Hudema:** Someone will be opposed to every political policy we pass; we've passed policies on differential tuition despite the fact that some students support it. I speak highly in favor of this amendment: while we may lack sufficient information, the United Nations is much better positioned to make a decision on this matter. The anti-war movement is clearly changing the course of history and has thus far prevented the US from embarking on a war without UN approval. Other student associations have passed similar policies and the Edmonton city council will soon be considering one.

**Carried (33/9/0)**

**Defeated (15/25/1) (Roll Call)**

2002-21/13a  
"Letter of  
Opposition"  
(Special Order #3)

REIKIE/HUDEMA MOVED THAT Students' Council mandate the President to write a letter to the University, Member of Parliament, Prime Minister and the Minister of Defense declaring the opinion of the university students regarding the proposed US-led war on Iraq.

**Reikie:** As educated, informed citizens we are the intellectual cream of the crop. Politicians are soliciting the views of their constituents and we have an obligation to make student voices heard.

**Schendel:** I encourage **Reikie** to write a letter on the behalf of his constituents but Council has already dealt with this issue once tonight.

**Kawanami:** Since we just voted against a political policy on Iraq, what would be the content of this letter? Further, it is rather patronizing of us to write letters on behalf of students who are themselves constituents of the people to whom we are writing. When we write letters to the Ministry of Learning, we write on behalf of our constituents as students; this is entirely external to our jurisdiction. Letter-writing campaigns such as this are the reason why this organization pulled out of CFS. Those students who support Iraq will feel further antagonized when the President and VP External write letters after council rejected a political policy. I encourage individual councilors to write their own letters if they believe that best represents their constituents.

**Ross:** Since we just defeated a political policy, the President clearly doesn't have the support of students on this issue. Let's not send letters on issues on which we lack a clear student consensus.

**Weppler:** How about we deal with student issues on which we can make a difference, rather than wasting Council's time on irrelevant issues? This issue that is outside our realm of relevance and influence; why has this crap been brought before us when we could be debating issues on which we could make a real difference?

**Sharma:** If we're serious about coalition building, this is the minimum that we can do. I think students are strongly in favor of a motion such as this and against the war on Iraq. This is not a CFS issue. Many schools outside of CFS have taken similar stances, some broader than others.

**Beamish:** The vast majority of my constituents support action by the SU. Even if the UN doesn't care, my constituents do. Governments that don't represent their constituents will ultimately fail. Maybe the reason our voter turnout is so low is because we don't deal with issues that are of utmost importance to students.

BEAMISH MOVED THAT the letter be approved by the executive committee before being sent.

**Friendly**

**Williams:** I'm against war but my constituents are opposed to this question. We need to pick our battles and this isn't a good one.

**Taylor:** A letter from one person in a position of power will be stronger than 50 letters from unknown people. If we want the government to listen to us on tuition, we need to

show that the SU is a mature body that will take action on issues of importance, not just selfish ones.

**Khatib:** While this might not be the best battle, when we are at war, are we just going to sit back? How we deal with the repercussions?

**Oberhoffner:** I would like to remind council that it is 8:00 and we have not yet approved the minutes of the previous meeting. Unless you have something new and relevant to say, please don't say anything.

KIDSTON/WELKE Called the previous question  
**Carried**

**Defeated (15/26/1)**

2002-21/8

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

SCHENDEL/SAMUEL MOVED TO approve the minutes of the Feb 4 meeting

-p. 3: Schendel was present at 6:00 and at 9:00

-p. 6: "SU elections system" should read "SU legal system"

-p. 7: "benefit to the fee structure" should read "benefit to the fairness of the fee structure"

-p. 9: "dispersed" should read "disbursed"

**Carried**

2002-21/9

QUESTION PERIOD

**Kawanami:** Will students who volunteer for the letter-writing roster be able to alter the letters as they see fit?

**Hudema:** The idea (from ATA President Larry Booi) is to get people to write letters to the editor when education-related issues appear in the media.

**Beamish:** Native Studies wasn't listed as a faculty option on the elections ballot. Why isn't the promised apology in today's Gateway?

**Smith:** The apology was submitted and should be printed next week.

**Laffin:** Why were off-campus students unable to vote online in the APIRG election?

**Smith:** APIRG paid us to use some of the SU's polling stations; they had their own ballots and ballot boxes and had nothing to do with online voting.

**Cao:** When will the UPass be implemented?

**Hudema:** It will have to be approved in a referendum before implementation. ETS's latest offer is \$213, which we think is too high. We've approached the university to see if they would subsidize us (there is a possibility that faculty and staff could opt in without the price changing). Our target price is around \$150. We will be making presentations to the City of Edmonton, St. Albert and Strathcona county to seek subsidies from those bodies.

**Samuel:** Will an opt-out mechanism be ensured?

**Hudema:** Anyone who can prove that they don't have access to transit can opt out, but an opt out won't be available to students who live near campus. My personal belief is that the pass should cost, say, \$151 to fund a subsidy pool, but this idea isn't supported by the rest of the executive.

**Williams:** Why are the sports teams talking about boycotting RATT?

**Ross:** In the past, when our sporting gods came to RATT they received \$6 pitchers for \$5. It is disgusting to ask normal students to subsidize the sporting elite and the policy was ended this year, much to their objection.

2002-21/10

**APPROVAL OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT  
(MINUTES)**

*Please see document SC 02-21.01.*

2002-21/12

**LEGISLATION**

2002-21/12a  
Bylaw 2100

SMITH/SLOMP MOVED THAT Students' Council, upon the recommendation of the Internal Review Board, approve the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2100 (SECOND Reading).

*Please see document SC 02-21.02.*

JONES/WELKE MOVED TO AMEND section 38 to read "Any member with the exception of the CRO, the DROs, and candidates be free to act as a volunteer for or endorse multiple candidates."

**Hudema:** There would be a tremendous advantage in the election for candidates to be able to endorse each other; it would be like running a slate without running a slate.

**Smith (POI):** Is the president of the opinion that this practice has occurred under our current bylaw structure?

**Hudema:** No. I think candidates should stand on their own platforms and beliefs.

**Samuel:** It is possible that a candidate could recruit people to run for the sole purpose receiving their endorsement. This has implications for campaign budgets. Candidates should not be able to endorse each other without actually declaring a slate.

**Smith:** Unofficial slates already exist; we should bring them out in the open.

**Carried (19/15/3)**

BRECHTEL/LO MOVED TO add “and incumbent members of the executive committee” to section 38.

**Brechtel:** Members of the executive committee have an unfair advantage through their knowledge and their actions have the power to affect the outcome of the election.

**Smith (POI):** What stops a member of the exec from doing these same damaging things while on a leave of absence?

**Brechtel:** Having to take a leave of absence is a disincentive. An exec member should not be able to pick his successor.

**Samuel:** While I understand the principle behind this amendment, it doesn't make sense. Even on a leave of absence, you're still a member of the exec, you're just not getting paid and you're not carrying out your duties.

**Smith:** The onus is on the people restricting freedoms to provide a rationale for the restriction. **Brechtel** has done so and I'll explain why it's bogus. He said it's unfair for a member of the exec to make his opponents look like swiss cheese. But it's been made abundantly clear that these individuals can do so on a leave of absence. For this amendment to accomplish anything it would have to restrict execs on leaves of absence too.

**Jones:** We all agree that exec members should use their powers for good not evil. Section 36 restricts the use of volunteer labor and expertise that is not available for all candidates, which is exactly exec information and endorsement would be. The CRO already has the authority to prevent this and no special provisions are needed.

**Welke:** We shouldn't be supporting exec members with a salary if they're trying to monkey around with the elections.

**Carried (26/12/3)**

KAWANAMI/JONES MOVED TO AMEND article 4 (dates of election) to read “The elections shall be held annually on two consecutive weekdays between the third Wednesday of January and the third Thursday of March, to be determined and announced by the CRO prior to the end of November each year.”

**Kawanami:** In addition to maintaining the recommendations of the committee, this allows flexibility. For many years, tuition decisions have come down during the election campaign. This also allows centralized councilor elections to occur at a better time. If you have a problem with this, it probably should have been raised when the FARCE recommendations were originally approved.

**Weppler:** Reading Week provides an excellent time for candidates to focus on their campaigns without unduly affecting their academic careers. March is a good time for elections as it is near the end of terms and will thus have minimal impact on projects underway. This is a change for the sake of change and no particular impetus has been identified.

**Jones:** I had midterms throughout campaign week. Indeed one of **Weppler’s** arguments for moving Engineering Week to January was that it is a better time for preparations and distractions. January elections would provide even more flexibility, allowing candidates to prepare over Christmas break. We don’t lose anything by allowing the CRO to choose from a more flexible range; this is a superset of the existing options.

**Brechtel:** Candidates are already asked to sacrifice a lot of time to prepare and for transition; 4 months of transition is unreasonable.

**Defeated (20/25/0)**

LO/KIDSTON MOVED TO AMEND article 52 to read “no candidate shall have more than 10 posters on display in any given building at any given time”

**Lo:** This is in response to poster pollution concerns of students, particularly in Tory, Business and CIVE. We need to be concerned with how much paper we use and this can be controlled by restrictions on money and on the number of posters permitted per building.

**Kidston:** Students don’t need to see the same poster every few feet. Even in a big building, 10 posters should be

sufficient.

**Smith:** The last few years have seen an excess of 20 candidates and thus a glut of posters. Keep in mind that two years ago there were only 12 candidates. Poster pollution increases voter turnout. I will be voting no but I give everyone permission to vote yes if they want.

**Samuel:** If you take away the emphasis on posters, more people will look for other sources of information (i.e. platforms) and this is ultimately to the benefit of the electoral process

**Oberhoffner:** This year had one of the lowest voter turnouts, clearly defeating Smith's point that poster pollution encourages turnout.

**Alampi:** Some students decided not to vote in response to the overload of posters. Clutter confuses people. Fewer posters will save paper and money.

**Welke:** I'm a total dick and don't really care about saving paper but I'm still in favor of this motion because it will greatly decrease the probability of me ever seeing Blair Dent naked again.

**Kawanami:** It is important that we avoid micromanaging campaigns in bylaw; we need to allow individual candidates to determine how to allocate their resources. This is overly intrusive.

ROSS/TAYLOR MOVED the previous question

**Carried**

**Carried (29/12/0)**

KIDSTON/HUDEMA MOVED TO AMEND section 61 to replace "\$700" with "\$350"

**Kidston:** Most people were appalled when they learned that candidates had \$600 budgets; \$350 is plenty to work with.

**Hudema:** Big glossy posters cost more than \$350, but are they necessary to engage students in the SU elections process? Everything you need to do can be done on \$350: 10 posters per building, handbills, bag tags. This is student money and if the trend for numerous candidates increases, more and more of the SU's budget will be spent on big glossy posters.

**Reid:** I'm going to agree with Hudema [shocked gasps]; this is a waste of money.

**Jones:** Print Center posters don't hold up against glossy



posters, but that becomes less of a problem if no one can afford glossy posters. The main area this hinders is getting Gateway ads (half page = \$400). This starts to limit how people can campaign. I spent \$70 on Duplo this year. I could have spent more and built a Lego castle. When you lower the amount, you start to limit people's ability to build Lego castles. 20 buildings x 10 SUPC posters @ \$1.55 = \$320 and you still have to buy tape.

**Slomp:** U of C elections candidates get about \$300. There are no poster eyesores and no one seemed to have a problem with it. Money and creativity need be directly correlated.

SHARMA (COUNCILOR)/WILLIAMS MOVED the previous question

**Carried**

**Carried (24/20/1) (Roll Call)**

JONES/ROSS MOVED TO AMEND sections 62 and 63 to halve the funding available for slates: replace "\$525" with "\$262.50" and "\$175" with "\$87.50"

**Jones:** Since we have just halved the amount available to individual candidates, it is only appropriate that we do the same thing for slates.

**Welke:** Not to be a hippie, we need to think about critical mass: you can't run a campaign on less than \$100.

WELKE/KELLY MOVED TO AMEND THE AMENDMENT by replacing "\$87.50" with "\$120."

**Smith:** Candidates will have more than \$100 as they have access to slate funding as well. Given all of the consultation that went into the FARCE recommendations and the fact that council as a whole endorsed these recommendations, it is frustrating that amendments to amendments are being raised out of ignorance.

**Defeated**

**Sharma:** I understand the need for environmental sensitivity and financial prudence, but this is being taken to an extreme and will severely hurt the election process. It also gives an undue advantage to incumbents.

**Samuel:** While this amount may be too low for fancy campaigns and glossy posters, the question is whether these

things are important for the campaign. It is important that the resources available to slates be proportionate to those available to individual candidates. A smaller budget forces candidates to be creative and more selective in their campaign materials.

**Kawanami:** We already voted on the \$350. In the interests of consistency we have no choice but to lower the amount for slates.

**Kelly:** \$87.50 isn't enough to print up posters about yourself.

**Lo:** The dollar amount may not be enough to print glossy posters but it sets a precedent and requires candidates to prioritize their expenses.

HUDEMA/EKDAHL MOVED the previous question  
**Carried**

**Carried (24/8/1)**

**Jones:** Since we're drastically undoing FARCE'S recommendations and returning them to the status quo, be aware that we are also drastically changing the method of voting to a preferential system, so now would be a good time to change that too.

**Carried (18/11/3)**

**Roll Call: Smith, Samuel, Slomp, Welke, Brechtel**

**Carried (22/10/2)**

BRECHTEL/EKDAHL MOVED TO make 13b-h Special Orders  
**Carried**

2002-21/13

NEW BUSINESS

2002-21/13b  
(Special Order #4)

HUDEMA/BRECHTEL MOVED THAT Students' Council, upon the recommendation of the Awards Selection Committee, ratify the selection of the winners for the following awards:

Royal Bank Student Faculty Association Involvement Award  
(**Teodora Alampi**)

Hilda Wilson Memorial Volunteer Recognition Award  
(**Kathryn Andrusky, Nicole Avanthey**)

Royal Bank Financial Group Involvement Award (**Anne Aspler, Sarah Li**)

Cristal Mar Memorial Award (**Toluope Bakinson, Dallas**)

**Holyroyd)**

Walter A. Dinwoodie Award (**Charles Beamish, Amanda Hostland**)

Alberta Treasury Branches Involvement Award (**Jordan Blatz, Jenny Chen**)

Java Jive Merchants Ltd. Award (**Haley Cleary, Jenny Fricke, Rebecca Reeves**)

Eugene L. Brody Award (**Lisa Clyburn, Breanne McCook**)

Anne Louise Mundell Humanitarian Award (**Adam Houston, Heather Davidson**)

Subway Sandwiches Award (**Donal Finegan, Yan Ni Sui**)

Tevie Miller Involvement Award (**Michael Horler, Aida Sadr**)

Tom Lancaster Award (**Sara Katz, Christopher Samuel**)

Lorne Calhoun Award (**James Knull, Matt Oberhoffner**)

Students' Union Award for Excellence (**Queenie Lung**)

Hooper-Munroe Academic Award (**Amy Yarbrough**)

Mamie Shaw Simpson Book Prize (**Julia-Lin Miller, Athena Photinopoulos**)

Dr. Randy Gregg Athletics Award (**Jennifer Nguyen, Michelle Rau**)

Dean Mortensen Award (**Ross Semeniuk, Shea Severson**)

**Carried** (Abstaining: **Knull, Alampi, Jones, Beamish, Clyburn, Oberhoffner, Samuel**)

2002-21/13c  
(Special Order #5)

HUDEMA/BRECHTEL MOVED THAT Students' Council, upon the recommendation of the Gold Key Awards Selection Committee, ratify the selection of the winners of the Gold Key Awards.

**Teodora Alampi, Melissa Creech, Keith Diakiw, Jason Ding, Rejean Gareau, Trent Gillespie, Dean Jorgensen, Aisha Khatib, Roman Kotovych, Sarah Lai, Jossann MacKenzie, Breanne McCook, Cassandra McDonough, Julia-Lin Miller, Neil Parmer, Mike Reid, Christine Rogerson, Melanie Sohn, Lorelei White, Bradley Wuetherick**

**Ross:** Will we be getting rid of this terrible, pompous award anytime soon? **Brechtel:** This award is run independently by the Gold Key Society.

**Carried** (Abstaining: **Alampi**)

2002-21/13d  
(Special Order #6)

HUDEMA/BRECHTEL MOVED THAT Students' Council, upon the recommendation of the Awards Selection Committee, ratify the selection of the winners of the S.A.L.U.T.E. Awards

ratify the selection of the winners of the S.A.L.U.T.E. Awards.

**Dr. David Cook** (Pharmacology), **Dr. Okine** (Agriculture, Forestry and Home Economics), **Dr. Walji** (Anatomy)

**Carried**

2002-21/13e  
**Standing Orders**  
(Special Order #7)

JONES/KELLY RESOLVED THAT Students' Council amend Standing Order 32 - Annual Remembrance by inserting the words "Mister Rogers" after Friendly Giant and before Ernie Coombs.

*Please see document LA 02-21.02.*

**Carried**

2002-21/13g  
**Conference**  
(Special Order #8)

SMITH/HUDEMA MOVED THAT Students' Council, upon the recommendation of the Executive Committee, approve the budget for the Ottawa Lobby Trip/Accessibility Conference.

*Please see document LA 02-21.03.*

**Smith: Sharma** will already be in the area on someone else's expense so this is a well-justified expense.

**Sharma:** This is the CFS and Canadian Association of University Teachers conference. I will be in the area on personal business. This will allow me to meet with MPs, especially Alliance MPs (the Alliance party will soon be putting forth a policy on PSE as they do not currently have one).

ROSS MOVED TO REPLACE "\$120" with "\$70".

**Ross: Sharma's** transportation from the NDP conference to CFS is a \$50 expenditure that should not be borne by students.

**Hudema: Sharma** will be going from the NDP conference to this one. There is a high value for this conference. This is \$50 well spent and already budgeted. This is particularly cheap for Anand who likes to live it up.

**Smith:** We always pay for people's transportation costs to a conference. Transporting **Sharma** from Montreal to Ottawa is significantly cheaper than from Edmonton.

**Withdrawn**

**Kawanami:** Didn't **Sharma** exhaust his travel budget at the Le Feuq conference?

**Smith:** This will require only a small transfer between the national lobbying and external budgets, sufficiently close to the original purpose of the money.

**Carried** (Abstaining: **Sharma**)

2002-21/13h  
(Special Order #9)  
"CRC Nom Com"

SHARMA MOVED THAT Students' Council appoint one councilor to sit on the Community Relations Coordinator Nomination Committee.

Congratulations to **Beamish**

2002-21/13i  
(Special Order #10)

SHARMA MOVED THAT Students' Council appoint one councilor to sit on the VP External Boards and Committees Nomination Committee.

"VPEX Boards Nom Com"

Congratulations to **Gill**

Gill/Samuel moved to adjourn

2002-21/17

**ADJOURNMENT** (9:55)

GILL/SAMUEL MOVED TO ADJOURN

**Carried**