



University of Alberta Students' Union

POLICY COMMITTEE

Tuesday, January 31 , 2017

4:00 PM

SUB 6-06

We would like to acknowledge that our University and our Students' Union are located on Treaty 6 Territory. We are grateful to be on Cree, Saulteaux, Métis, Blackfoot, and Nakota Sioux territory; specifically the ancestral space of the Papaschase Cree. These Nations are our family, friends, faculty, staff, students, and peers. As members of the University of Alberta Students' Union we honour the nation-to-nation treaty relationship. We aspire for our learning, research, teaching, and governance to acknowledge continuing colonial violence and respect Indigenous knowledges and traditions.

AGENDA (PC 2016-08)

- | | |
|-------------------|---|
| 2016-08/1 | <u>INTRODUCTION</u> |
| 2016-08/1a | Call to Order |
| 2016-08/1b | Approval of Agenda |
| 2016-08/1c | Approval of Minutes |
| 2016-08/1d | Chair's Business |
| 2016-08/2 | <u>QUESTION PERIOD/ DISCUSSION</u> |
| 2016-08/2a | MNIF Policy changes

Please see PC 16-08.01 |
| 2016-08/3 | <u>COMMITTEE BUSINESS</u> |
| 2016-08/3a | DEJONG moves to renew the Student Space Political Policy as is.

Please see PC 16-08.02 |
| 2016-08/4 | <u>INFORMATION ITEMS</u> |
| 2016-08/5 | <u>ADJOURNMENT</u> |
| 2016-08/5a | Next Meeting: February 14, 2017 in SUB 6-06 @ 4PM. |

1 Facts

1. The University of Alberta is a publicly-funded public institution and therefore committed to high standards of service, administrative effectiveness, and good governance in the responsible management of its financial resources.
2. Services provided by the University of Alberta can foster a positive student experience.
3. Services provided by the University of Alberta Students' Union are often essential components of the student experience.
4. Undergraduate students are willing to pay a reasonable fee for services that benefit them.
5. Mandatory non-instructional fees remain unregulated by the Government of Alberta and can therefore change at the discretion of Universities or Students Associations.
6. Mandatory non-instructional fees are above and beyond the tuition fee, which is the mandatory payment for instruction.
7. Both the University of Alberta, and University of Alberta Students' Union charge students Mandatory Non-Instructional Fees.
8. The University of Alberta, and University of Alberta Students' Union, has committed to transparency and accountability on how mandatory non-instructional fees are spent.
9. The University of Alberta Students' Union recognizes a difference between Students' Union Fees and university Mandatory Non-Instructional Fees.
10. The University of Alberta now decides increases to Mandatory Non-Instructional Fees based on a formula they call "Academic Price Index" (API) which accounts for the the costs associated with operating the institution.
11. The cost of a post-secondary education includes tuition, fees, educational materials, and living expenses.
12. A high and unpredictable cost of education is a barrier to post-secondary education for many students.

2 Resolutions

1. The University of Alberta Students' Union will advocate for a predictable cost of post-secondary education, that can be reasonably anticipated year-to-year.
2. The University of Alberta Students' Union will advocate for an affordable cost of post-secondary education for all current and potential students.
3. The University of Alberta Students' Union will advocate for an accessible cost of post-secondary education, especially for students facing financial and/or cultural barriers.

4. The University of Alberta Students' Union will advocate to the Government of Alberta for the regulation of all mandatory non-instructional fees.
5. The University of Alberta Students' Union will advocate to the Government of Alberta for a framework which clearly defines which fees are non-instructional and therefore outside the framework established in the Public Post-Secondary Tuition Fees Regulation.
6. The University of Alberta Students' Union will advocate for a process where students provide meaningful input on which services mandatory non-instructional fees pay for.
7. The University of Alberta Students' Union will advocate to retain the student-approval mechanism for the implementation of any new mandatory non-instructional fees as well as for an increase to any existing mandatory non-instructional fees.
8. The University of Alberta Students' Union will advocate for continued transparency and accountability procedures as to where and how the fees levied on students are spent.
9. The Students' Union will advocate that students maintain representation on any body directing the general affairs and mandate of a service which is funded by a student fee.

1 Facts

1. The University of Alberta lacks sufficient student space to accommodate the current student population.
2. Current space planning has not sufficiently anticipated the needs of current or future students.
3. Space planning in new and refurbished buildings will have significant impacts on students who make use of the buildings.
4. Students and student groups require quality spaces on campus to pursue their curricular, co-curricular, and extra-curricular goals.
5. Students require that space is available according to their own schedules.
6. Students require space that is physically accessible.

2 Resolutions

1. The Students' Union will advocate that University of Alberta space allocation and building development policies should take into consideration the needs of students and student groups both present and future.
2. The Students' Union will advocate for the construction and renovation of classroom spaces such that they reflect advances in pedagogy.
3. The Students' Union will advocate that student spaces are open sufficient hours to address student need.
4. The Students' Union will advocate that space planning account for physical accessibility and students' safety.



University of Alberta Students' Union

POLICY COMMITTEE

**Tuesday, January 17th, 2017
4:00 PM
ECHA 1-163**

ATTENDANCE

NAME	PROXY	PRESENT
Victoria DeJong (Chair)		Y
Reed Larsen		Y
Delane Howie		Y
Kyle Monda		Y
Alexander Brophy		Y
Fredrique Ndatirwa		Y
Marina Banister		Y
Mike Sandare		Y
Francesca El Ghossein	Fahim Rahman	N
Fahim Rahman		Y

MINUTES (PC 2016-07)

2016-07/1 INTRODUCTION

2016-07/1a Call to Order

Meeting called to order at 4:06 PM.

2016-07/1b

Approval of Agenda

**DEJONG/SANDARE moved to add MNIF Policy Review above Tuition Policy Review.
7/0/1 (LARSEN ABSTAINED)
CARRIED**

2016-07/1c

Approval of Minutes

FAHIM/NDAATIRA moved to approve the minutes for November 28, 2016.

**8/0/0
CARRIED**

2016-07/1d

Chair's Business

2016-07/1d

Treaty Recognition

We will have treaty recognitions from all agendas from now on.

2016-07/1d

Gendered Language

I will double check on our policies and all standing orders.

2016-07/2

QUESTION/DISCUSSION PERIOD

2016-07/2a

MNIF Policy Review

SANDARE

I made some slight changes. I added a line that they are now committed to transparency and accountability, besides that I think it is a complete policy.

LARSEN

Why was it submitted 12 hours before the meeting?

SANDARE

There are really any big changes when it comes down to this policy. To be honest, a lot of my time has been going towards EMP Fest as

it is coming really soon. It could have been sent out sooner, but the output would have been the same.

MONDA

Even though it was sent out 12 hours ago, we could not look at it as we have no permission on Google Docs.

LARSEN

I'm curious how advocacy is going on these forms after the victory achieved in March and how things have gotten any better?

SANDARE

A lot of the things remain the same: transparency, accountability, affordability, predictability, and accessibility.

The biggest change is university does show us where mandatory non-instructional fees go. We want to make sure the university continues this.

We are still facing the same battle a few years ago with mandatory non-instructional fees --- they are not yet regulated.

BROPHY

It will be nice to have a resolution that we would like to advocate to have a regulation of MNIFs same as regulation for tuition.

SANDARE

That would be Line 4 & 5.

RAHMAN

We don't want to see SU fees increase 10% each year.

LARSEN

Do we want this to be reworded in a way that is more clear and less arbitrary? I don't see evidence that these 9 resolutions are all being accomplished.

For example, the point about international students, do we want to talk about that in MNIFs or in tuition?

SANDARE

Do you have any recommendations?

LARSEN

We should start putting more emphasis on these questions. We should be trying to be more specific.

SANDARE

We don't want to be too prescriptive with our policies because it lacks the flexibility for when executives run in different platforms.

Complete re-working is not necessary I think. If we have too many things that prescribe how then we walk ourselves into a policy position.

I think International tuition is better off in tuition.

MONDA

Are we jumping the gun on passing this before we have the results on the structure of MNIF?

SANDARE

No, it doesn't lock us in the sense that we cannot revisit this policy. If we wait, it might last until after April.

LARSEN

I would prefer more specifics: international students tuition fee, instructional fees, etc.

Has it worked considerably within the last 8 months? I don't have enough evidence.

RAHMAN

For the progress, we are working with university right now about the MNIF policy. It should exist by the end of February.

We do have a clause with MOA with any future MNIF.

For Tuition review, this is coming for renewal one year too early. Next year would be better as we have more information.

SU Political Policy should be what's best for students, and that is independent largely of what the government does.

BROPHY

We would want to be do a more comprehensive rewrite. It would be nice to have more detailed information.

MONDA

Though we could pass it now, I don't see the urgency. The committee needs more time to look at this.

For the Tuition policy, could we have that addition of clarity and add more specific goal?

BANISTER

I'm in favour of a more flexible and vague policy. We should think long-term, and all values of all students.

DEJONG

Overall I am satisfied. I agree with Fahim that we can use some sort of facts of SU fees and put some differentials in there.

In resolution point 8, Robyn commented if we would be a little more specific like adding "add accountability procedure" to be more tangible. This still leaves room for flexibility and how reporting structure exists.

LARSEN

I would want to have some opening the conversation on international students tuition either here or something else.

I'm just really curious as to what work has been done. Are we moving forward?

MONDA

Does the API increase apply only to base tuition or also to MNIF?

RAHMAN

MNIF

MONDA/LARSEN moved to refer this to the next Policy Committee Meeting.

8/0/1 (NDAATIRA ABSTAINED)

CARRIED

Tuition Policy Review

DEJONG

I would like to do a little bit of outreach if possible to get student feedback for the way we advocate for tuition.

BROPHY

I like the idea where we have a tuition policy that simultaneously deals with the realities and the ideals. We know that there's not gonna be free tuition anytime soon, but the policy would give a certain roadmap to that.

HOWIE

Do you want the SU policy to state that our ideal situation is 0 dollar tuition?

BROPHY

We want hierarchy of asks. We want increased fundings, tuition cut and eliminated, but we know that there are various economic factors there so we aren't going to ask just one thing.

HOWIE

So we actually want to put \$0 tuition in the policy? I'm fine with a three steps below that, but actually having it in there at all is very contentious.

SANDARE

If we add a roadmap, there is no room for flexibility. As council, we represent all students in University of Alberta. Having zero dollar tuition is something I'm against because it increases barriers to access.

BANISTER

I think it is really tricky to find a balance of saying that this is something we want without undermining it.

I agree with Mike on access points about the zero tuition.

BROPHY

The idea of being too prescriptive is something I have not thought of, and will definitely change what I have previously been thinking.

BANISTER

What we all can agree on is we want university to be accessible to people. That does not mean one specific solution. The solution depends on what circumstance you're in.

LARSEN

I am and always will be a fan of the idea of moving towards

universal access of education. My mind the tuition is the largest single barrier we have to that access.

As far as what our SU policy should be, we need to ask for money to fill in the programs. I really like the idea that we have policy asks ways to have an ultimate goal.

NDAATIRA

When we're moving towards free tuition, it is important to recognize that we're in a competitive realm. If we say we want zero tuition, we want to remember we want to be ranked in the world.

RAHMAN

I think the biggest deterrent of people going to universities is whether your parents attend or not.

Brophy's suggestion is tough coming from an executive perspective. I am for giving people options.

There should be line here that says the Students' Union can vote in favour of increases to the cost of education if it is beneficial to the students. An example is the uPass.

BROPHY

The whole point of having this ask strategy is you weren't bound by a particular "we asked for this thing".

My thoughts on zero tuition is there should be more clear framework, but, yes, with the prescriptiveness, it is probably not the best idea.

LARSEN

I don't see the negative of having the ultimate goal: zero tuition. We have to talk about the biggest cost of it all. I believe this goal is not impossible; it's just hard.

SANDARE

I don't see the reason why we need to say we're advocating for completely free tuition. It doesn't say that we're not advocating for free tuition. It won't help in our strategy if we put it there.

We will be dealing with different parties. Year after year, we're going to have executive and council change over. We want to be able to open it up so when new people come in, their politics and

their political view will still work.

BANISTER

It goes back to the idea of flexibility because one: execs and council change every year. Next year, they may not want free tuition. Two: If we voted at a council on a free tuition, I'm not sure it will pass because I don't think an average student or an average councillor thinks that that's the best thing.

I think we should be more flexible for more wiggle room.

HOWIE

In Resolution 3, I think that's an opportunity for us to put in not an exception but something that addresses the fact that there is some wiggle room for if that increase/situation benefits the students.

As it's worded right now, there is some glaring exceptions that would go into that like if a program is about to be cut, and the only way it is not going to be cut is we raise tuition by \$3.

LARSEN

We can write these policies in a way that say we do understand the exceptions. Writing it down is very important that we do see these things as an ultimate barrier/decision.

I would like to see our SU take a committed direction on policy tuition.

MONDA

On resolution 3, I can definitely see a strong argument for leaving that option in the policy. I'm worried that if we put that policy, we're basically saying to the university that they can raise tuition as long as you can market it correctly to students.

LARSEN

This is a Googleable document. If we say we're ok with increase in tuition, people would say SU is okay with increases. If people want to find out what the SU stood in tuition, this is what they're going to find.

HOWIE

I understand. It sucks to watch your program get cut and not being able to do anything about it. Even if it's a threat and if they're actually gonna cut programs, that's a conversation in the Council.

RAHMAN

I agree with Delane. I think there is a good way to reword that.

DEJONG

I don't agree that we should advocate for free tuition or having a 20 year plan. I think this policy should be more robust. I agree with Delane about point 3.

NDAATIRA

In response to Kyle about the university threatening us, I don't think they're dumb enough to do that. If they succeed, I think we're dumb enough to let them do that. If there's no valid excuse to let them do that, then it's our fault.

LARSEN

I am supportive of the idea that it will go to council.

MONDA

I am conflicted on this especially being in a Fine Arts department. I do think that it is worth having discussion around flexibility.

DEJONG

Who is interested in spearheading the review process?

HOWIE

I volunteer.

2016-07/2b

Transparent Consultation Processes

DEJONG

I think it's weird SU does not consult more with students when we make changes that direct our advocacy.

RAHMAN

It's the council's role to do those consultations.

DEJONG

Has CAC considered creating a job description for councillors? A paragraph and a website is not binding. This should be part of CAC meetings. I will go to the meetings next week.

MONDA

It might be a good idea to post it on SU facebook and twitter to remind people that we're looking at these policies so they can email councillors.

Adding this to the agenda, do we want all of council to do the all consultations or the policy committee doing their own?

DEJONG

I was thinking of Policy Committee, but there is that bigger issue of responsibility.

BANISTER

I have 5 COFA meetings next week. Do you want to come to the COFA meetings?

DEJONG

We will talk about it later.

2016-07/3 **COMMITTEE BUSINESS**

2016-07/4 **INFORMATION ITEMS**

2016-07/4a

2016-07/5 **ADJOURNMENT**

2016-07/5a **Next Meeting:** January 31, 2017 @ SUB 6-06

2016-07/5b Meeting adjourned at 5:27PM.

SUMMARY OF MOTIONS

MOTION	VOTES
---------------	--------------

DEJONG/SANDARE moved to add MNIF Policy Review above Tuition Policy Review.	7/0/1 (LARSEN ABSTAINED) - CARRIED
FAHIM/NDAATIRA moved to approve the minutes for November 28, 2016.	8/0/0 - CARRIED
MONDA/LARSEN moved to refer this to the next Policy Committee Meeting.	8/0/1 (NDAATIRA ABSTAINED) - CARRIED