

**3 August 2021
6:00 pm
Google Meet**

ATTENDANCE

NAME	PROXY	PRESENT
Emily Kimani		Y
Abner Monteiro		Y
Samar Barazesh		Y
Chris Beasley		Y
Nicole De Grano, chair		Y
Vaughn Beaulieu Mercredi		Y
Nathan Brandwein		Y
Julia Villosio		N/A
Courtney Graham		N/A
Thomas Banks		N/A
Kristen Stoik		N/A

MINUTES (BC 2021-06)

2021-06/1

INTRODUCTION

2021-06/1a

Call to Order

Meeting called to order at 6:06 P.M.

2021-06/1b

Approval of Agenda

BARAZESH/BRANDWEIN MOVED to approve the agenda.
CARRIED

2021-06/1c

Approval of Minutes

BARAZESH/BEASLEY MOVED to approve the agenda of BC-2021-05-M.
CARRIED

2021-06/1d

Chair's Business

2021-06/2

QUESTION/DISCUSSION PERIOD

2021-06/3

COMMITTEE BUSINESS

2021-06/3a

Bylaw 100 Section 18.4 - Why are FAs excused from COIs?

DEGRANO: Inquired as to why 18.4 states 'No member of Students' Council shall vote on a motion relating to a student group or organization of which they are a member unless that organization is a faculty association.'
Recognised that, despite bylaw, most councillors abstain from their faculty association motions. Considered removing this exemption.

STOIK: Suggested that the exemption may exist because faculty associations are included as part of faculties which councillors represent.

VILLOSO: Identified that 18.4(a) defines FA membership in stating 'Membership in an organization shall be defined as Regular meeting attendance and voting and/or speaking privileges at meetings; or Holding office within that organization'

BEASLEY: Suggested that this definition is sufficiently broad to apply to all members, including executive members.

BARAZESH: Agreed the definition of membership is not ideal.

DEGRANO: Determined to confirm with Administration the original rationale for the exemption.

2021-06/3b

Bylaw 8200

DEGRANO: Noted that the Committee is uncertain about how to satisfy the ISA's request for differential fees without substantially changing bylaw.

STOIK: Expressed concern that differential fees could put a price on advocacy (as the classes of members paying more would receive preferential treatment).

BARAZESH: Inquired as to whether the base memberships for fees are fixed.

STOIK: Responded in the affirmative. Proposed, instead of changing bylaw, that the ISA use fee opt-outs to accommodate the needs of students from satellite campuses.

BEASLEY: Expressed concern that differential fees could create unmanageable complexity in fee administration.

DEGRANO: Agreed. Determined, moving forward, that the ISA will have to use their opt-out powers to solve this issue.

2021-06/3c

[8000 Series Second Principles](#)

STOIK: Inquired as to the intention of 8100 7.3 reading ‘During the period of an unrepresented constituency the Students’ Union, or the best corresponding Faculty Association or Campus Association shall assume responsibility of the affairs of the constituency.’

BEASLEY: Responded that this amendment intends to ensure chain of administration if a departmental association fails.

STOIK: Proposed clarifying this amendment. Proposed amending 7.4 to include ISA and ASC.

BEASLEY: Agreed with Stoik.

VILLOSO: Proposed amending 8200 to reflect the new bi-annual auditing schedule. Inquired as to whether there are SRA on a 5 year approval term.

BEASLEY: Responded that ESS and the LSA may be on this term.

DEGRANO: Determined to table the approval of Second Principles to the next meeting.

2021-06/4

INFORMATION ITEMS

2021-06/4a

[8000 Series Second Principles](#)

2021-06/4b

[Bylaw 100 Section 18](#)

2021-06/5

ADJOURNMENT