

DIE Board Hearing Application

Please note that this information will all be public. While the UASU's practice is to redact email addresses and phone numbers from publicly posted DIE Board hearing applications, the information provided may be kept, used, and disclosed in keeping with the operations of the DIE Board, UASU Bylaws, and Alberta's Personal Information Protection Act.

If necessary, the Students' Union DIE Board Registrar may contact you to confirm that you are a student.

NOTE: Under the DIE Board Protocols, the DIE Board reserves the right to reject applications that it judges to be frivolous or vexatious.

Name Jade O'Dell

E-mail [REDACTED]

This application is for a: Appeal of a Chief Returning Officer Ruling

Reason for Application

Describe the specific violation of a bylaw or rule, your specific interpretation question, or the specific errors made by the DIE Board or the CRO. If you want the Board to issue some kind of order, explain what you think the Board should do. You may also attach additional written submissions or supporting documents at the end of this form.

Reason

From an outsider perspective it seems pretty wishy-washy that in a competition between two candidates, the loser may register a "complaint" against the winner and have the winner disqualified. Regardless of the moral or ethical viewpoints behind a student group or a candidate, it seems abusive of the electoral process and of the complaining candidate in question to disqualify the winning candidate over this. I have never in my years of attending the university seen a candidate in the SU be disqualified AFTER winning the race. This is entirely disrespectful and unprofessional of the CRO and of Michael Griffiths towards Lisa Glock and I'm quite honestly appalled. Student groups may or may not endorse SU candidates, I believe it should be their right. The CRO's decision should be overturned immediately, Lisa Glock reinstated as candidate, and quite frankly I think there should be an investigation into a conflict of interest between the CRO and Michael Griffiths.

Proposed Respondent(s)

List the individual(s) alleged to have infringed a rule or who are otherwise adversely involved in interest to your application. If you are appealing a CRO Ruling, list the CRO and any candidates involved.

Proposed Respondent

CRO
Michael Griffiths

Anticipated Witnesses

List other individuals involved in the case who can contribute to the Hearing, if any.

Anticipated Witness

Lisa Glock

Signature



Attach File

Although not required, you may attach additional written submissions or supporting documents for the Board to consider, including any relevant facts, a copy of any Students' Union legislation or rules relevant to your arguments, and your position on the matters in issue. These submissions will help the Board understand the nature of your complaint or request for interpretation. The Board may rule against you if you do not provide sufficient reasons for your application.

Please submit as a single document.

If you're unsure of how to combine multiple separate documents, you can save all documents as a PDF and use [PDF Merge](#).

Direct any questions to:

DIE Board Registrar

governance@su.ualberta.ca

SUB 6-24